

Checklist of amphipod crustaceans (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in Slovenia

Cene FIŠER¹, Borut MAVRIČ², Marijan GOVEDIČ³, Anja PEKOLJ¹, Maja ZAGMAJSTER¹

¹SubBio Lab, Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Jamnikarjeva 101, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; E-mails: cene.fiser@bf.uni-lj.si, anja.pekolj@bf.uni-lj.si, maja.zagmajster@bf.uni-lj.si

²Marine Biological Station, National Institute of Biology, Fornace 41, SI-6339 Piran, Slovenia; E-mail: borut.mavric@nib.si

³Center za kartografijo favne in flore, pisarna Ljubljana, Tacenska 20, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; E-mail: marijan.govedic@ckff.si

Abstract. Amphipods are among the most species-rich orders of peracarid crustaceans, inhabiting marine and fresh waters, including subterranean waters, as well as semi-terrestrial environments. Data on amphipods from Slovenia are scattered among published and unpublished references. We gathered all available data on amphipods in the country, using published and unpublished records from two databases, supplemented by additional published information and unpublished records from two collections of two institutions. All data were critically evaluated and species names updated to the latest taxonomically valid name. In total, we listed 198 species, belonging to 85 genera and 41 families. About two thirds of the species are marine, while the rest are semiterrestrial, brackish and freshwater species. Among the latter, subterranean species dominate. In total, 26 species endemic to Slovenia were identified, one of which lives in surface freshwater, and the rest in groundwaters. Surprisingly, no presence of invasive freshwater amphipod species has currently been confirmed. We provided critical comments on nine amphipod species that were listed erroneously for the country. Our work revealed two major knowledge gaps. Marine species records come from occasional sampling campaigns, and we suggest that this group calls for a more systematic research. More work has been done on freshwater species, which revealed many taxonomic uncertainties that cannot be resolved at present and call for additional taxonomic research.

Key words: Amphipoda, checklist, marine, freshwater, subterranean, taxonomy, semiterrestrial

Izvleček. Seznam rakov postranic (Crustacea: Amphipoda) v Sloveniji – Postranice so eden izmed najbogatejših redov rakov valilničarjev, ki poseljujejo morske in sladke vode, površinske in podzemne, ter tudi obrežne habitate. O slovenskih postranicah so poročali številni raziskovalci, vendar so podatki raztreseni po številnih objavljenih in neobjavljenih virih. Zbrali smo vse razpoložljive podatke o postranicah v državi, tako objavljene kot tudi neobjavljene podatke iz dveh podatkovnih zbirk in zbirk preparatov dveh inštitucij. Vsi podatki so bili kritično vrednoteni, imena vrst pa posodobljena glede na zadnje veljavno taksonomsko ime. Skupno smo zbrali podatke o 198 vrstah postranic, ki pripadajo 85 rodovom in 41 družinam. Približno dve tretjini vrst je morskih, ostale pa so obrežne, brakične in sladkovodne. Med slednjimi prevladujejo podzemne vrste. Identificirali smo 26 vrst, ki so endemne za Slovenijo, od katerih vse žive v celinskih vodah, od teh le ena v površinskih, vse ostale pa v podzemnih vodah. Presenetljivo je, trenutno še nimamo potrjenega podatka o pojavljanju invazivnih vrst postranic v celinskih vodah v Sloveniji. Podajamo komentar devetih vrst, ki so jih napačno navajali kot živeče v Sloveniji. V našem delu smo zaznali dve vrzeli v raziskanosti postranic. Podatki o morskih vrstah prihajajo le z naključnih vzorčenj; to skupino bi morali raziskati sistematičneje. Več dela je bilo narejenega na sladkovodnih vrstah, kjer pa so problem številne taksonomske nejasnosti, ki jih lahko razrešimo šele z dodatnimi taksonomskimi raziskavami.

Ključne besede: Amphipoda, seznam vrst, morski, sladkovodni, podzemni, taksonomija, obrežne postranice

Introduction

With over 10,000 species, the order Amphipoda is one of the largest groups in the superorder Peracarida in the classis Crustacea (Horton et al. 2021). Amphipods are distributed in all aquatic and semiterrestrial habitats around the globe. About 80% of species live in marine environments (Väinölä et al. 2008), and span between supralittoral to deepest trenches, where they represent an important fraction of deep-sea communities (Jamieson et al. 2010). The remaining 20% are freshwater (Väinölä et al. 2008), living in all types of freshwater including groundwater (Sket 1999a, Zagmajster et al. 2014).

Amphipods represent an ecologically important group that contributes to nutrient cycling. They act as detritivores, shredders, suspension feeders, scavengers, parasites or predators (Kaestner 1967, MacNeil et al. 1997, Ruffo et al. 1998) – and constitute an important food source for fish. Some species are intermediate hosts to acanthocephalan parasites (Westram et al. 2011, Shaw et al. 2020), some are hosts to ciliates (Gudmundsdóttir et al. 2018) or temnocephalid flatworms (Matjašič 1990), while several species live in associations with other animals, such as other crustaceans, bryozoans, mollusks, or cnidarians (Lörz et al. 2014, Vader & Myers 1993, Vader & Tandberg 2013, 2020). Because of the absence of dispersal larvae (Myers & Lowry 2009), many species are narrow endemics (Trontelj et al. 2009, Bregović et al. 2019, Esmaili-Rineh et al. 2020) and an important part of natural heritage. Yet, few species have been rapidly expanding their original distributional ranges, and as invasive species remarkably modified native freshwater communities across Europe (Jazdzewski et al. 2004, Grabowski et al. 2012, Dodd et al. 2014). Importantly, many amphipod species have been included into ecotoxicological bioassays and used in monitoring schemes of water quality (Feckler et al. 2012, Major et al. 2013).

Amphipods thus constitute an important group of crustaceans for basic and applied research. Successful implementation of amphipod crustaceans into diverse research programs requires a resolved taxonomy, an easy identification system, good understanding of species' distributions, an estimation of potential threats and species' conservation status. On many occasions, this information is incomplete or completely lacking.

Slovenia has a long tradition in amphipod research. Yet, biological data on amphipod species are scattered in many sources or sometimes unpublished. As a consequence, we are facing larger or smaller knowledge gaps on the taxonomy, distribution, ecology and biology of many species. An updated checklist of species present in the country is the first step towards systematic studies of amphipods. Such a list is the most elementary document needed for inventory and planning of future research, be it basic or applied. The hitherto published lists of Slovenian amphipods (Karaman 1974, Sket 1996, Fišer 2002) have long been outdated and need to be revised and corrected. To progress towards proper and complete inventory of amphipods in Slovenia, we assembled all available published and unpublished data on amphipods and compiled the first comprehensive national checklist of all amphipods in coastal and inland waters.

Materials and methods

To assemble the list, we first used the records of all previously published checklists (Karaman 1974, Sket 1996, Fišer 2002), and supplemented it with data from literature published afterwards. For this, we used already extracted data stored in two databases, BioPortal (BioPortal, Centre for Cartography of Fauna and Flora – CKFF) and SubBioDB (SubBio Database, Subterranean Biology Laboratory at Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana – SubBioLab). Additionally, we added new information from the specimen collections of the Marine Biological Station of Piran of the National Institute of Biology (hereinafter referred to as MBP) and of the SubBioLab. While preparing the checklist, we specifically considered the following points.

Names and taxonomy. We used the names evaluated as »accepted« in the World Register of Marine Species (Horton et al. 2021), with one exception, when we considered the relevant publication (Sket & Hou 2018 synonymized the genera *Chaetogammarus* and *Echinogammarus* into *Homoeogammarus*); all other names were discarded as synonyms and were not added to the checklist. We listed only formally described species, even though molecular analyses in many cases imply that morphological species comprise morphologically indistinguishable species complexes. These cryptic species (e.g., Copilaş-Ciocianu & Petrussek 2015, Mamos et al. 2016, Csapó et al. 2020, Hupało et al. 2020, Wattier et al. 2020) are not considered in this checklist, but we do discuss them when relevant. The possible new species candidates and problematic taxonomic cases are beyond the scope of this overview. The higher taxonomy of amphipods above the family rank has been challenged (Copilaş-Ciocianu et al. 2020). To keep the checklist simple and insensitive to taxonomic changes, we excluded ranks between order and family.

Ecology. Each species in the checklist is labelled according to its habitat where it can be primarily found. We introduced categories »marine«, »brackish«, »freshwater« (i.e. surface freshwater), »groundwater« (i.e., subterranean freshwater) and »semiterrestrial«, but do not make distinction among habitats within these categories (Trontelj et al. 2012, Culver & Pipan 2014). Species from springs, which some consider an ecotone between groundwater and surface waters, were assigned to the habitat typical for that genus.

Endemism. Present knowledge of many species is not sufficient to construct detailed maps of their distribution. We, however, indicated whether species are living within the political borders of Slovenia (endemics), or whether they are distributed also beyond the country borders.

Citation. Every species record is linked to the reference, supporting its presence in the country – either being a literature reference or a database. Therefore, the list of references should not be considered as a complete list of all references on amphipods of Slovenia. We selected the most comprehensive resources, to keep the list of supporting references at minimum.

Results

The checklist of amphipods of Slovenia encompasses a total of 198 species, belonging to 41 families and 85 genera (Tabs. 1, 2). Of all the species in the list, 77 are listed for the country for the first time, based on data coming from the collections of UL and MBP (Tab. 2).

Table 1. Numerical summary of all amphipod species confirmed to occur in Slovenia, according to the habitat they occur in. All three brackish species can also be found in surface freshwaters (to simplify, here referred to only as freshwater). ¹Members of some families and genera live in more than one environment, therefore the numbers do not simply sum up. The term endemic relates to species, occurring within Slovenian political borders only.

Tabela 1. Številčni povzetek vseh postranic, ki se potrjeno pojavljajo v Sloveniji, glede na habitate, kjer se pojavljajo. Vse tri brakične vrste so našli tudi v površinskih sladkih vodah. ¹Predstavniki nekaterih družin/rodov žive v več kot enem habitatu, zato se številke teh ne seštejejo. Oznaka »endemic« se nanaša na vrste, ki žive izključno znotraj političnih meja Slovenije.

	Number of families	Number of genera	Number of species	Number of endemics
marine	36	73	127	0
semiterrestrial	1	4	4	0
brackish	1	1	3	0
freshwater	2	4	7	1
groundwater	4	6	57	25
TOTAL ¹	41	85	198	26

Table 2. List of all amphipod species confirmed to occur in Slovenia, with notes on their main habitat, and whether they are distributed only within the political borders of Slovenia (endemics). The basis of the data assembly were the BioPortal (Centre for Cartography of Fauna and Flora) and the SubBioDB (SubBioLab – Subterranean Biology Laboratory) databases, but supplemented with data from morphological collections: MBP – the collection of the Marine Biological Station Piran (National Institute of Biology); SubBioLab – the collection of the SubBioLab (at Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana). We retained only one reference, and do not list all references on the species for the country.

Tabela 2. Seznam vseh vrst postranic, ki se potrjeno pojavljajo v Sloveniji, s podatki o glavnem habitatu in morebitni razširjenosti le znotraj Slovenije (endemiti). Osnova za podatke sta bili zbirki podatkov BioPortal (Center za kartografijo favne in flore) in SubBioDB (SubBioLab- Raziskovalna skupina za speleobiologijo), ki smo ju dopolnili s podatki iz morfoloških zbirk MBP – zbirka Morske biološke postaje (Nacionalni inštitut za biologijo) in SubBioLab – zbirka skupine SubBioLab (Oddelek za biologijo, Biotehniška fakulteta, Univerza v Ljubljani). Za vsako vrsto navajamo le en vir in ne celotnega seznama vseh navedb iz Slovenije.

Family	Species	Habitat	Endemic	Reference
Ampeliscidae	<i>Ampelisca diadema</i> (Costa, 1853)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Ampelisca intermedia</i> Bellan-Santini & Diviaco, 1990	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Ampelisca pseudosarsi</i> Bellan-Santini & Kaim-Malka, 1977	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Ampelisca pseudospinimana</i> Bellan-Santini & Kaim-Malka, 1977	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Ampelisca ruffoi</i> Bellan-Santini & Kaim-Malka, 1977	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Ampelisca sarsi</i> Chevreux, 1888	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Ampelisca spinipes</i> Boeck, 1861	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Ampelisca tenuicornis</i> Liljeborg, 1856	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Ampelisca typica</i> (Spence Bate, 1856)	marine	no	SubBioLab

Family	Species	Habitat	Endemic	Reference
	<i>Ampelisca rubella</i> A. Costa, 1864	marine	no	Ruffo et al. 1982
Amphilochidae	<i>Apolochus neapolitanus</i> (Della Valle, 1893)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Apolochus picadurus</i> (J.L. Barnard, 1962)	marine	no	SubBioLab
Ampithoidae	<i>Ampithoe ramondi</i> Audouin, 1826	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Ampithoe riedli</i> Krapp-Schickel, 1968	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Biancolina algicola</i> Della Valle, 1893	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Cymadusa crassicornis</i> (Costa, 1853)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Pleonexes helleri</i> (Karaman, 1975)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Sunamphitoe spuria</i> (Krapp-Schickel, 1978)	marine	no	SubBioLab
Aoridae	<i>Aora spinicornis</i> Afonso, 1976	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Autonoe spiniventris</i> Della Valle, 1893	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Lembos websteri</i> Spence Bate, 1857	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Microdeutopus algicola</i> Della Valle, 1893	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Microdeutopus anomalus</i> (Rathke, 1843)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Microdeutopus chelifera</i> (Spence Bate, 1862)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Microdeutopus gryllotalpa</i> Costa, 1853	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Microdeutopus obtusatus</i> Myers, 1973	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Microdeutopus similis</i> Myers, 1977	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Microdeutopus sporadhi</i> Myers, 1969	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Microdeutopus stationis</i> Della Valle, 1893	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Microdeutopus versiculatus</i> (Spence Bate, 1857)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Aristiidae	<i>Perrierella audouiniana</i> (Spence Bate, 1857)	marine	no	MBP
Atylidae	<i>Nototropis guttatus</i> Costa, 1853	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Nototropis vedlomensis</i> (Spence Bate & Westwood, 1862)	marine	no	MBP
Bogidiellidae	<i>Bogidiella albertimagni</i> Hertzog, 1933	groundwater	no	Karaman 1974
	<i>Bogidiella semidenticulata</i> Meštrov, 1962	groundwater	no	Karaman 1974
Calliopiidae	<i>Apherusa alacris</i> Krapp-Schickel, 1969	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Apherusa chiereghinii</i> Giordani-Soika, 1949	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Caprellidae	<i>Caprella acanthifera</i> Leach, 1814	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Caprella danilevskii</i> Czerniavski, 1868	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Caprella equilibra</i> Say, 1818	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Caprella scaura</i> Templeton, 1836	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Phtisica marina</i> Slabber, 1769	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Pseudolirius kroyeri</i> (Haller, 1879)	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Pseudoprotella phasma</i> (Montagu, 1804)	marine	no	SubBioLab
Cheirocratidae	<i>Cheirocratus sundevallii</i> (Rathke, 1843)	marine	no	SubBioLab
Cheluridae	<i>Chelura terebrans</i> Philippi, 1839	marine	no	MBP
Corophiidae	<i>Apocorophium acutum</i> (Chevreux, 1908)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Corophium orientale</i> Schellenberg, 1928	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Leptocheirus longimanus</i> Ledoyer, 1973	marine	no	SubBioLab

Family	Species	Habitat	Endemic	Reference
	<i>Leptocheirus mariae</i> Karaman, 1973	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Leptocheirus pectinatus</i> (Norman, 1869)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Medicorophium annulatum</i> (Chevreux, 1908)	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Medicorophium rotundirostre</i> (Stephensen, 1915)	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Medicorophium runcicorne</i> (Della Valle, 1893)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Monocorophium insidiosum</i> (Crawford, 1937)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Monocorophium sextonae</i> (Crawford, 1937)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Monocorophium acherusicum</i> (Costa, 1853)	marine	no	Heller 1866
Crangonyctidae	<i>Synurella ambulans</i> (F. Müller, 1846)	freshwater	no	Pekolj 2020
Cyproideidae	<i>Peltocoxa marioni</i> Catta, 1875	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Dexaminidae	<i>Dexamine spiniventris</i> (Costa, 1853)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Dexamine spinosa</i> (Montagu, 1813)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Tritaeata gibbosa</i> (Spence Bate, 1862)	marine	no	SubBioLab
Eusiridae	<i>Eusirus longipes</i> Boeck, 1861	marine	no	MBP
Gammaridae	<i>Homoeogammarus olivii</i> (H. Milne Edwards, 1830)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Homoeogammarus pungens</i> (H. Milne Edwards, 1840)	brackish, freshwater	no	Karaman 1974
	<i>Homoeogammarus stammeri</i> (S. Karaman 1931)	freshwater	no	Karaman 1974
	<i>Homoeogammarus stocki</i> G. Karaman, 1970	brackish, freshwater	no	Karaman 1974
	<i>Homoeogammarus veneris</i> (Heller, 1865)	brackish, freshwater	no	Karaman 1974
	<i>Gammarus aequicauda</i> (Martynov, 1931)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Gammarus balcanicus</i> Schäferna, 1923	freshwater	no	Sket et al. 2010
	<i>Gammarus crinicornis</i> Stock, 1966	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Gammarus fossarum</i> Koch, 1836	freshwater	no	Fišer et al. 2007
	<i>Gammarus insensibilis</i> Stock, 1966	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Gammarus lacustris</i> G.O. Sars, 1863	freshwater	no	Alther et al. 2016
	<i>Gammarus roeselii</i> Gervais, 1835	freshwater	no	Karaman 1974
	<i>Gammarus subtypicus</i> Stock, 1966	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Jugogammarus kusceri</i> (S. Karaman, 1931)	freshwater	yes	Karaman 1974
Hyalidae	<i>Apohyale crassipes</i> (Heller, 1866)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Apohyale perieri</i> (Lucas, 1846)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Hyale stebbingi</i> Chevreux, 1888	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Parhyale aquilina</i> (Costa, 1857)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Protohyale grimaldii</i> (Chevreux, 1891)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Protohyale camptonyx</i> (Heller, 1866)	marine	no	Fišer 2002

Family	Species	Habitat	Endemic	Reference
Ingolfiellidae	<i>Ingolfiella beatrix</i> Ruffo & Vonk, 2001	groundwater	yes	Sket 2000
Iphimediidae	<i>Iphimedia minuta</i> G.O. Sars, 1883	marine	no	MBP
Isaeidae	<i>Isaea montagui</i> H. Milne Edwards, 1830	marine	no	Heller 1866
Ischyroceridae	<i>Centraloecetes dellavallei</i> (Stebbing, 1899)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Coxischyrocerus inexpectatus</i> (Ruffo, 1959)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Erichthonius brasiliensis</i> (Dana, 1853)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Erichthonius punctatus</i> (Spence Bate, 1857)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Jassa marmorata</i> Holmes, 1905	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Plumulojassa ocia</i> (Spence Bate, 1862)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Leucothoidae	<i>Leucothoe incisa</i> Robertson, 1892	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Leucothoe oboa</i> Karaman, 1971	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Leucothoe occulta</i> Krapp-Schickel, 1975	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Leucothoe pachycera</i> Della Valle, 1893	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Leucothoe richiardi</i> Lessona, 1865	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Leucothoe serraticarpa</i> Della Valle, 1893	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Leucothoe spinicarpa</i> (Abildgaard, 1789)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Liljeborgiidae	<i>Liljeborgia dellavallei</i> Stebbing, 1906	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Lysianassidae	<i>Lysianassa costae</i> H. Milne Edwards, 1830	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Lysianassa pilicornis</i> Heller, 1866	marine	no	MBP
Maeridae	<i>Abludomelita gladiosa</i> (Spence Bate, 1862)	marine	no	Ruffo et al. 1982
	<i>Ceradocus orchestipes</i> Costa, 1853	marine	no	Ruffo et al. 1982
	<i>Elasmopus brasiliensis</i> (Dana, 1853)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Elasmopus pocillimanus</i> (Spence Bate, 1862)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Elasmopus rapax</i> Costa, 1853	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Maera grossimana</i> (Montagu, 1808)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Othomaera schmidtii</i> (Stephensen, 1915)	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Quadrimaera inaequipes</i> (A. Costa & Hope, 1851)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Melitidae	<i>Melita hergensis</i> Reid, 1939	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Melita palmata</i> (Montagu, 1804)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Microprotopidae	<i>Microprotopus maculatus</i> Norman, 1867	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Niphargidae	<i>Carinurella paradoxa</i> (Sket, 1964)	groundwater	no	Sket 1964
	<i>Niphargobates orophobata</i> Sket, 1981	groundwater	yes	Sket 1981
	<i>Niphargus aberrans</i> Sket, 1972	groundwater	no	Sket 1972
	<i>Niphargus arbiter</i> G. Karaman, 1984	groundwater	no	Delić et al. 2017a
	<i>Niphargus arcanus</i> G. Karaman, 1988	groundwater	yes	Karaman 1988
	<i>Niphargus brachytelson</i> S. Karaman, 1952	groundwater	yes	Karaman 1952
	<i>Niphargus carnolicus</i> Sket, 1960	groundwater	yes	Sket 1960
	<i>Niphargus chagankae</i> Delić, Trontelj & Fišer, 2017	groundwater	yes	Delić et al. 2017b
	<i>Niphargus cvajcki</i> Delić, Trontelj & Fišer, 2017	groundwater	yes	Delić et al. 2017b

Family	Species	Habitat	Endemic	Reference
	<i>Niphargus danconai</i> Benedetti, 1942	groundwater	no	Sket 1996
	<i>Niphargus dobati</i> Sket, 1999	groundwater	yes	Sket 1999b
	<i>Niphargus fongi</i> Fišer & Zagmajster, 2009	groundwater	yes	Fišer & Zagmajster 2009
	<i>Niphargus goricae</i> Delić, Trontelj & Fišer, 2017	groundwater	yes	Delić et al. 2017b
	<i>Niphargus gottscheanensis</i> Delić, Trontelj & Fišer, 2017	groundwater	yes	Delić et al. 2017b
	<i>Niphargus grandii</i> Ruffo, 1936	groundwater	no	Sket 1972
	<i>Niphargus hadzii</i> Rejic, 1956	groundwater	yes	Rejic 1956
	<i>Niphargus hebereri</i> Schellenberg, 1933	groundwater	no	Sket 1996
	<i>Niphargus iskae</i> Delić, Trontelj & Fišer, 2017	groundwater	yes	Delić et al. 2017b
	<i>Niphargus karamani</i> Schellenberg, 1935	groundwater	no	Delić et al. 2017b
	<i>Niphargus kenki</i> S. Karaman, 1952	groundwater	no	Karaman 1952
	<i>Niphargus krameri</i> Schellenberg, 1935	groundwater	no	Fišer et al. 2006a
	<i>Niphargus labacensis</i> Sket, 1956	groundwater	no	Prevorčnik et al. 2019
	<i>Niphargus lattingerae</i> G. Karaman, 1983	groundwater	no	Prevorčnik et al. 2019
	<i>Niphargus liburnicus</i> G. Karaman & Sket, 1989	groundwater	no	Zakšek et al. 2019
	<i>Niphargus longidactylus</i> Ruffo, 1937	groundwater	no	Prevorčnik et al. 2019
	<i>Niphargus longiflagellum</i> S. Karaman, 1950	groundwater	yes	Karaman 1950
	<i>Niphargus malagorae</i> Delić, Trontelj & Fišer, 2017	groundwater	yes	Delić et al. 2017b
	<i>Niphargus microcerberus</i> Sket, 1972	groundwater	no	Sket 1972
	<i>Niphargus minor</i> Sket, 1956	groundwater	no	Prevorčnik et al. 2019
	<i>Niphargus multipennatus</i> Sket, 1956	groundwater	no	Sket 1972
	<i>Niphargus novomestanus</i> S. Karaman, 1952	groundwater	yes	Karaman 1952
	<i>Niphargus orcinus</i> Joseph, 1869	groundwater	no	Fišer et al. 2006b
	<i>Niphargus pachytelson</i> Sket, 1960	groundwater	yes	Sket 1960
	<i>Niphargus parapupetta</i> G. Karaman, 1984	groundwater	no	Prevorčnik et al. 2019
	<i>Niphargus pectinicauda</i> Sket, 1971	groundwater	yes	Sket 1971
	<i>Niphargus podpecanus</i> S. Karaman, 1952	groundwater	yes	Karaman 1952
	<i>Niphargus pupetta</i> (Sket, 1962)	groundwater	no	Sket 1971
	<i>Niphargus rejici</i> Sket, 1958	groundwater	yes	Sket 1958
	<i>Niphargus scopicauda</i> Fišer, Coleman, Zagmajster, Zwitter, Gerecke & Sket, 2010	groundwater	yes	Fišer et al. 2010
	<i>Niphargus serbicus</i> S. Karaman, 1960	groundwater	no	Sket 1972
	<i>Niphargus slovenicus</i> S. Karaman, 1932	groundwater	yes	Karaman 1932
	<i>Niphargus sphagnicolus</i> Rejic, 1956	groundwater	yes	Rejic 1956
	<i>Niphargus spinulifemur</i> S. Karaman, 1954	groundwater	no	Fišer et al. 2006a

Family	Species	Habitat	Endemic	Reference
	<i>Niphargus spoeckeri</i> Schellenberg, 1933	groundwater	yes	Schellenberg 1933
	<i>Niphargus stenopus</i> Sket, 1960	groundwater	yes	Sket 1960
	<i>Niphargus steueri</i> Schellenberg, 1935	groundwater	no	Zakšek et al. 2019
	<i>Niphargus stochi</i> G. Karaman, 1994	groundwater	no	Trontelj et al. 2012
	<i>Niphargus stygius</i> (Schiödte, 1847)	groundwater	no	Delić et al. 2021
	<i>Niphargus subtypicus</i> Sket, 1960	groundwater	no	Zakšek et al. 2019
	<i>Niphargus timavi</i> S. Karaman, 1954	groundwater	no	Fišer et al. 2006a
	<i>Niphargus transitivus</i> Sket, 1971	groundwater	no	SubBioDB
	<i>Niphargus valachicus</i> Dobreanu & Manolache, 1933	groundwater	no	Karaman 1974
	<i>Niphargus wolfi</i> Schellenberg, 1933	groundwater	no	Schellenberg 1933
	<i>Niphargus zagrebensis</i> S. Karaman, 1950	groundwater	no	Delić et al. 2017b
Nuanauidae	<i>Gammarella fucicola</i> (Leach, 1814)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Oedicerotidae	<i>Deflexilodes acutipes</i> (Ledoyer, 1983)	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Deflexilodes gibbosus</i> (Chevreux, 1888)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Deflexilodes griseus</i> (Della Valle, 1893)	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Deflexilodes subnudus</i> (Norman, 1889)	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Periculodes aequimanus</i> (Kossmann, 1880)	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Periculodes longimanus</i> (Spence Bate & Westwood, 1868)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Synchelidium longidigitatum</i> Ruffo, 1947	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Westwoodilla rectirostris</i> (Della Valle, 1893)	marine	no	MBP
Phliantidae	<i>Pereionotus testudo</i> (Montagu, 1808)	marine	no	SubBioLab
Photidae	<i>Gammaropsis crenulata</i> Krapp-Schickel & Myers, 1979	marine	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Gammaropsis maculata</i> (Johnston, 1828)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Megamphopus brevidactylus</i> Myers, 1976	marine	no	SubBioLab
Phoxocephalidae	<i>Harpinia antennaria</i> Meinert, 1890	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Harpinia dellavallei</i> Chevreux, 1910	marine	no	MBP
Podoceridae	<i>Podocerus variegatus</i> Leach, 1814	marine	no	SubBioLab
Podoprionidae	<i>Podoprion bolivari</i> Chevreux, 1891	marine	no	MBP
Pontogeneiidae	<i>Eusiroides dellavallei</i> Chevreux, 1899	marine	no	SubBioLab
Scopelocheiridae	<i>Scopelocheirus crenatus</i> Spence Bate, 1857	marine	no	Ruffo et al. 1989
	<i>Scopelocheirus hopei</i> (Costa & Hope, 1851)	marine	no	Heller 1866
Stenothoidae	<i>Stenothoe monoculoides</i> (Montagu, 1813)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Stenothoe tergestina</i> (Nebeski, 1881)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Talitridae	<i>Orchestia mediterranea</i> Costa, 1853	semiterrestrial	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Speziorchestia stephenseni</i> (Cecchini, 1928)	semiterrestrial	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Talitrus saltator</i> (Montagu, 1808)	semiterrestrial	no	SubBioLab
	<i>Cryptorchestia garbinii</i> Ruffo, Tarocco & Latella, 2014	semiterrestrial	no	MBP

Family	Species	Habitat	Endemic	Reference
Tryphosidae	<i>Hippomedon bidentatus</i> Chevreux, 1903	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Lepidepecreum longicorne</i> (Spence Bate & Westwood, 1861)	marine	no	MBP
	<i>Orchomene humilis</i> (Costa, 1853)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
	<i>Tryphosa nana</i> (Krøyer, 1846)	marine	no	Fišer 2002
Uristidae	<i>Tmetonyx nardonis</i> (Heller, 1867)	marine	no	Heller 1866

About two thirds of species (127 species, 73 genera and 36 families) are marine, one family with four genera totalling four species are semiterrestrial, three species can be found in both brackish and freshwaters, whereas the rest of the species (65) live in freshwater only. Among the latter, subterranean species (57 species) predominate. There are 26 species endemic to the country, of which only one is from surface freshwater, while all the rest are from groundwater (Tabs. 1, 2). We detected two alien marine species, of which one is invasive, but found no alien freshwater species.

Nine species are considered as erroneously listed for Slovenia, with detailed explanations on the reasoning behind exclusion from the national list of amphipods explained in Tab. 3.

Table 3. A list of amphipod species erroneously listed as present in Slovenia in previous papers. In a separate column, we justify their removal from the Slovenian checklist.

Tabela 3. Seznam vrst postranic, ki jih starejša dela zmotno navajajo kot del slovenske favne – kar je napaka. V ločenem stolpcu utemeljujemo njihovo odstranitev s seznama slovenske favne.

Family	Species	Reason to remove	Source
Hadziidae	<i>Hadzia fragilis stochi</i> Karaman, 1989	Present on Carso Goriziano, Italy, but its presence in Slovenia was never confirmed. Also Sket (1996) listed its presence as doubtful, with question mark added.	Sket 1996
Gammaridae	<i>Gammarus wautieri</i> Roux, 1967	A species distributed in France, identified using the identification key of Karaman and Pinkster (1977). According to molecular evidence, this is an error; it likely represents an unknown species from the complex <i>Gammarus fossarum</i> .	Sket 1970
Niphargidae	<i>Niphargus aquilex</i>	This species is one of the first described <i>Niphargus</i> species. It lives in Northern and Central Europe (McInerney et al. 2014), but morphologically similar species are found across the entire Europe. Mentioned as group or aggregate. The first records under the name <i>N. aquilex</i> agg. refer to <i>N. dobati</i> .	Sket 2000, Rejic 1958
	<i>Niphargus croaticus</i> (Jurinac, 1887)	This species lives in Croatia and Bosnia and Herzegovina (Delić et al. 2016, Zakšek et al. 2019). Before the revision, it was often erroneously confused with another species, <i>N. arbiter</i> (Karaman 1984). In Sket (2000) it is listed as <i>N. cf. croaticus</i> , which may lead to wrong conclusion of <i>N. croaticus</i> presence in Slovenia.	Sket 2000

Family	Species	Reason to remove	Source
	<i>Niphargus kochianus</i>	This species is one of the first described <i>Niphargus</i> species. It lives in Northern and Central Europe (McInerney et al. 2014), but morphologically similar species are found across the entire Europe. The first records under the name <i>N. group kochianus</i> refer to populations of <i>N. longidactylus</i> , <i>N. labacensis</i> and <i>N. minor</i> . Mentioned as <i>Niphargus kochianus</i> ssp. or <i>N. gr. kochianus</i> .	Sket 1972, Sket 1979, Sket & Velkovrh 1981, Sket 2000
	<i>Niphargus jovanovici</i>	This species lives in Macedonia and Greece (Karaman 2017), but several species from Slovenia were assigned as closely-related species or subspecies (<i>N. grandii</i> , <i>N. multipennatus</i>). Mentioned as <i>N. jovanovici</i> ssp. (Sket 1972).	Sket 1972
	<i>Niphargus hrabei</i> S. Karaman, 1932	This species is distributed throughout the Pannonian basin and could be expected in Slovenia (Copilaş-Ciocianu et al. 2017). However, its presence has never been confirmed, even though a related undescribed species lives in Krakovski gozd (Borko et al. 2021). Sket (1996) mentions this species with question mark.	Sket 1996
	<i>Niphargus puteanus</i> (Koch & Panzer, 1836)	<i>Niphargus puteanus</i> is distributed in the Rhine and upper Danube basins and Luxembourg (Weber et al. 2020). However, this is the first <i>Niphargus</i> species to be described, and many other species were originally described as its subspecies. In Slovenia, <i>N. spoeckeri</i> and <i>N. krameri</i> were probably confused with this species.	Gherlizza 1999, Megušar 1914, Perko 1910
	<i>Niphargus tauri</i>	This species lives in Turkey, but similar species were reported from Western Balkans, including Slovenia. <i>N. carniolucus</i> was initially affiliated to this species. It was reported as <i>N. tauri</i> ssp. or as <i>N. tauri</i> gr.	Matjašič & Sket 1971, Sket 1970, Sket 1979, Sket & Velkovrh 1981

Discussion

In this contribution, we are increasing the number of registered species for Slovenia, as we list 77 (nearly 39%) of all amphipod species for the first time for our country. The large majority of species (131 species, 66% of all) is marine, found in the Slovenian part of the Northern Adriatic Sea. Two species (*Caprella scaura*, *Jassa marmorata*) are alien and one (*Monocorophium sextonae*) is cryptogenic (EASIN 2021). The marine species represent approximately 29% (127 out of 451) of all Mediterranean species (Ruffo et al. 1998). This is a relatively large share of regional species richness even though the Northern Adriatic Sea

represents only a small fraction of the entire Mediterranean Sea, with Slovenian sea representing even smaller fraction. The share of marine against all Slovenian amphipods (64%), however, is somewhat lower than their respective share on a global scale (80%, Horton et al. 2021). This deviation can be in part explained by the fact that marine amphipods have not been systematically studied, in contrast to intensively studied freshwater amphipods. We believe that more intense research should expand the list of inhabitants of Slovenian sea. For example, the monograph of Mediterranean amphipods reported six species from the sea near Rovinj (Croatia) and Trieste (Italy), i.e., south and north of Slovenian coast, respectively, the presence of which can be highly expected in the Slovenian sea as well (Krapp-Schickel & Zavodnik 1996, Ruffo et al. 1998). Finally, we found some individuals that may belong to alien species, but need a confirmation from a specialist for these families.

Among freshwater amphipod species, subterranean amphipod species (groundwater species) represent the main share of species (57 out of 64 freshwater species). Subterranean amphipods comprise species from currently six genera, *Bogidiella*, *Carinurella*, *Ingolfiella*, *Niphargus*, *Niphargobates* and *Synurella*. Two of them, *Niphargobates* and *Carinurella*, are in need of taxonomic revision, as they are nested in phylogenetic trees within the genus *Niphargus* (Esmaeili-Rineh et al. 2015, Borko et al. 2021). Species of the genus *Niphargus* dominate in groundwaters (53 species). The high number of *Niphargus* species seems to be a result of turbulent geological history that in a broader region of the Western Balkans prompted multiple evolutionary radiations, descendants of which dispersed into the territory that is nowadays called Slovenia (Borko et al. 2021). The number of current species of this genus is rather underestimated since the morphological identification of *Niphargus* species is challenging and the taxonomy of the genus incomplete. We estimate there might be between 30–50% additional and yet undescribed species (Borko et al., in revision).

The only *Synurella* species listed in the checklist needs further clarifications. Slovenian populations of *S. ambulans* colonized a number of Slovenian caves, with each subterranean population differing from neighbouring surface populations and hence suggesting multiple independent colonization events and probable ongoing speciation (Pekolj 2020). Some authors considered morphologically differentiated subterranean populations as a distinct subspecies named *S. ambulans subterranea* (Karaman 1931). Subterranean *Synurella* resembles the evolutionary history of the isopod species *Asellus aquaticus* (Linnaeus, 1758), which independently colonized subterranean waters several times, and molecular studies suggest that most of these cave populations deserve separate species status (Verovnik et al. 2009, Konec et al. 2015). By analogy, we assume that subterranean populations of *Synurella* represent distinct species, a hypothesis that should be tested using molecular tools.

Most endemic species are groundwater species, although there is one endemic species in the surface freshwater. The term »endemic« requires a caution note. For the needs of this checklist, we considered »endemic« those species the distributional range of which falls completely within the political borders of Slovenia. However, there are species having small distribution ranges, which also occur in either of the neighbouring countries (Italy, Croatia). Even though they are not national endemics, they are endemic to very small geographic area (often called subendemic). A different case of missed national endemics in the current list are some large-ranged species that may have genetically distinct populations in Slovenia (e.g. *N. liburnicus*, *N. krameri*) and await to be taxonomically evaluated, formally described as species, and put on a list of national endemics.

The most species from poor ecological categories are species from the surface water and species from brackish water. These include genera *Homoeogammarus*, *Gammarus*, *Jugogammarus* and *Synurella*. Taxonomically unambiguous is the monotypic species *Jugogammarus kusceri*, a narrow endemic species living in springs within the catchment of the Krka River (Sket 1996). The taxonomic status of species from other genera is highly questionable. Individuals characterized as nominal species *G. fossarum*, *G. balcanicus*, *G. roeselii* and *S. ambulans* in fact belong to species-rich complexes (Copilaş-Ciocianu & Petrušek 2015, Mamos et al. 2016, Csapó et al. 2020, Hupało et al. 2020, Wattier et al. 2020). With rare exceptions (Alther et al. 2017), most of Slovenian freshwater gammarids have never been studied genetically and we thus cannot evaluate their taxonomic status nor the true number of potential species. Consequently, distribution of these species in Slovenia and their conservation status remains poorly known.

Contrary to our expectations, as of present time and up to our knowledge, there is no confirmed record of invasive freshwater amphipod species presence from Slovenia. Many Ponto-Caspian species of the genera *Dikerogammarus*, *Chelicorophium* and *Obesogammarus* spread across the continent and through rivers and artificial channels and have reached Poland, Germany, France and Switzerland (Altermatt et al. 2014, Copilaş-Ciocianu et al. 2021). These species were recorded in the Drava and Sava Rivers in the territories of Croatia and Serbia more than a decade and five decades ago, respectively (Karaman 1974, Žganec et al. 2009). The probability that these species could be present also in Slovenia or could colonize Slovenian rivers, streams, and lakes in the near future is quite high. Thus, the here presented checklist offers a challenge to researchers, to look carefully into riverine benthos and check whether native fauna has encountered Pontocaspian newcomers.

Finally, while preparing and critically evaluating the checklist, several erroneous names resurfaced. Changes of species names are an integral part of taxonomic practice. Some species were in due revisions transferred to other genera during revisions, recognized as junior synonyms or erected from subspecies to species status. These names can be traced as synonyms on the World Register of Marine Species (Horton et al. 2021) and are not problematic. However, some sources of erroneous records can create confusion with the risk to be repeated when checklists are revised. First, some species were erroneously identified. As an example, in the past, individuals could have been identified as a widespread species (like *Niphargus puteanus*), but subsequent taxonomic work unveiled this individual belongs to another species (in this example, *N. krameri*) and the previously identified species (in this case *N. puteanus*) is not present in Slovenia (Weber et al. 2020). A similar confusion may derive from identification to the species level (e.g., *N. aquilex*) rather than to the subspecies level (in this case *N. aquilex dobatii*), and subsequent taxonomic work raised subspecies to the species level (*N. dobatii*); also in this example, nominal species does not live in the country (McInnerney et al. 2014). The last problem deals with dubious records, not backed with data. With the aim to remove such species from further lists, we decided to list them in this contribution, with the hope that they will no longer be listed as representatives of Slovenian amphipod fauna.

Povzetek

Postranice (Amphipoda) so z 10.000 vrstami med največjimi redovi rakov valilničarjev (Horton et al. 2021). Večina vrst je morskih (80 %), ostale (20 %) pa naseljujejo celinske vode vključno s podzemnimi vodami (Sket 1999a, Väinölä et al. 2008, Zagmajster et al. 2014). V tem delu smo posodobili seznam morskih in sladkovodnih vrst postranic z območja Slovenije. Posodobljeni seznam smo sestavili iz že objavljenih seznamov in jih dopolnili s podatki, objavljenimi po letu 2002. Slednje smo pridobili iz podatkovnih zbirk BioPortal (Center za kartografijo favne in flore) in SubBioDB (Raziskovalna skupina za speleobiologijo – SubBioLab). Ta seznam smo dopolnili z novejšimi in neobjavljenimi podatki iz zbirk Morske biološke postaje v Piranu (Nacionalni inštitut za biologijo) in skupine SubBioLab (Oddelek za biologijo, Biotehniška fakulteta Univerze v Ljubljani). V seznam smo vključili le formalno opisane vrste; navajamo pa jih z imeni, ki jih zbirka World Register of Marine Species (Horton et al. 2021) obravnava kot veljavna. Vsaki vrsti smo pripisali ekološko kategorijo (morska, morska, brakična, obrežna, površinska sladkovodna, podzemna sladkovodna) in morebiten status državnega endemita. Pojavljanje vrste v Sloveniji je podkrepljena z enim verodostojnim virom, bodisi objavo bodisi podatkom iz zbirke.

V Sloveniji smo do danes našli 198 vrst postranic, ki pripadajo 85 rodovom in 41 družinam. Za 77 vrst iz seznama je to prva navedba o njihovem pojavljanju na našem območju. Dve tretjini (127 vrst) sestavljajo morske postranice. Štiri vrste živijo na kopnem oz. obrežjih, tri vrste najdemo tako v brakičnih kot tudi celinskih vodah. Preostale vrste (64 vrst) živijo samo v celinskih vodah, od katerih prevladujejo tiste, ki jih najdemo v podzemnih vodah (57 vrst). Endemne vrste (26) so le v celinskih vodah in so, z eno izjemo, podzemne. Tujerodne vrste smo našli v morju, v celinskih vodah pa jih presenetljivo še nismo našli. Za devet vrst, ki pripadajo trem družinam in jih starejša dela navajajo kot del slovenske favne, smo ovrgli njihovo pojavljanje v Sloveniji.

Pregled seznama vrst razkriva dve osrednji težavi v razumevanju vrstne pestrosti postranic v Sloveniji. Morske vrste so bile vzorčene le priložnostno; njihovo število je podcenjeno zlasti na račun skromnega vzorčenja. Pri vrstah, ki naseljujejo celinske vode, so težave drugačne narave. Te vrste so pogosto kompleksi t. i. kriptičnih vrst. Razjasnitev njihovega taksonomskega statusa zahteva uporabo molekulske taksonomije, kar je zamuden proces in epilog lahko pričakujemo šele v naslednjih letih.

Acknowledgements

The preparation of this checklist has been encouraged by the need for a national checklist of taxa in Slovenia, as a necessary backbone of taxa to be included in the NarcIS - Nature Conservation Information System, established via the EU funded project LIFE NarcIS (LIFE19 GIE/SI/000161). The past field work and research of Slovenian amphipod fauna was funded by the Slovenian Research Agency through core programme P1-0184 and project J1-2464.

References

- Altermatt F., Alther R., Fišer C., Jokela J., Konec M., Küry D., Mächler E., Stucki P., Westram A.M. (2014): Diversity and distribution of freshwater amphipod species in Switzerland (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *PLoS One* 9(10): e110328.
- Alther R., Fišer C., Altermatt F. (2016): Description of a widely distributed but overlooked amphipod species in the European Alps. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 179(4): 751-766.
- Borko Š., Trontelj P., Seehausen O., Moškrič A., Fišer C. (2021): A subterranean adaptive radiation of amphipods in Europe. *Nat. Commun.* 12(1): 1-12.
- Bregović P., Fišer C., Zagmajster M. (2019): Contribution of rare and common species to subterranean species richness patterns. *Ecol. Evol.* 9(20): 11606-11618.
- Copilaş-Ciocianu D., Petrusek A. (2015): The southwestern Carpathians as an ancient centre of diversity of freshwater gammarid amphipods: insights from the *Gammarus fossarum* species complex. *Mol. Ecol.* 24: 3980-3992.
- Copilaş-Ciocianu D., Borko Š., Fišer C. (2020): The late blooming amphipods: Global change promoted post-Jurassic ecological radiation despite Palaeozoic origin. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 143: 106664.
- Copilaş-Ciocianu D., Fišer C., Borza P., Balazs G., Angyal D., Petrusek A. (2017): Low intraspecific genetic divergence and weak niche differentiation despite wide ranges and extensive sympatry in two epigeal *Niphargus* species (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 181(3): 485-499.
- Copilaş-Ciocianu D., Sidorov D., Šidagytė-Copilas E. (2021) Global distribution and diversity of Ponto-Caspian amphipods. *bioRxiv*: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.19.452907>
- Csapó H., Krzywoźniak P., Grabowski M., Wattier R., Baćela-Spychalska K., Mamos T., Jelić M., Rewicz T. (2020): Successful post-glacial colonization of Europe by single lineage of freshwater amphipod from its Pannonian Plio-Pleistocene diversification hotspot. *Sci. Rep.* 10: 18695.
- Culver D.C., Pipan T. (2014): *Shallow subterranean habitats: ecology, evolution, and conservation*, 1st ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 258 pp.
- Delić T., Švara V., Coleman C.O., Trontelj P., Fišer C. (2017a): The giant cryptic amphipod species of the subterranean genus *Niphargus* (Crustacea, Amphipoda). *Zool. Scr.* 46: 740-752.
- Delić T., Trontelj P., Rendoš M., Fišer C. (2017b): The importance of naming cryptic species and the conservation of endemic subterranean amphipods. *Sci. Rep.* 7: 3391.
- Delić T., Trontelj P., Zakšek V., Brancelj A., Simčič T., Stoch F., Fišer C. (2021): Speciation of a subterranean amphipod on the glacier margins in South Eastern Alps, Europe. *J. Biogeogr.* in press, 13 pp.
- Delić T., Trontelj P., Zakšek V., Fišer C. (2016): Biotic and abiotic determinants of appendage length evolution in a cave amphipod. *J. Zool.* 299(1): 42-50.
- Dodd J.A., Dick J.T.A., Alexander M.E., MacNeil C., Dunn A.M., Aldridge D.C. (2014): Predicting the ecological impacts of a new freshwater invader: functional responses and prey selectivity of the 'killer shrimp', *Dikerogammarus villosus*, compared to the native *Gammarus pulex*. *Freshw. Biol.* 59: 337-352.

- EASIN (2021): European Commission - Joint Research Centre - European Alien Species Information Network (EASIN) <https://easin.jrc.ec.europa.eu/easin> [accessed on 30.10.2021]
- Esmaili-Rineh S., Mamaghani-Shishvan M., Fišer C., Akmalı V., Najafi N. (2020): Range sizes of groundwater amphipods (Crustacea) are not smaller than range sizes of surface amphipods: A case study from Iran. *Contrib. to Zool.* 89(1): 1-13.
- Esmaili-Rineh S., Sari A., Delić T., Moškrič A., Fišer C. (2015): Molecular phylogeny of the subterranean genus *Niphargus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in the Middle East: a comparison with European Niphargids. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 175: 812-826.
- Feckler A., Thielsch A., Schwenk K., Schulz R., Bundschuh M. (2012): Differences in the sensitivity among cryptic lineages of the *Gammarus fossarum* complex. *Sci. Total Environ.* 439: 158-164.
- Fišer C. (2002): Prispevek k poznavanju postranic iz skupine Gammaridea (Amphipoda, Gammaridea) slovenske morske obale. *Nat. Slov.* 4: 33-39.
- Fišer C., Zagmajster M. (2009): Cryptic species from cryptic space: the case of *Niphargus fongi* sp. n. (Amphipoda, Niphargidae). *Crustaceana* 82: 593-614.
- Fišer C., Coleman C.O., Zagmajster M., Zwiitni B., Gereck R., Sket B. (2010): Old museum samples and recent taxonomy: A taxonomic, biogeographic and conservation perspective of the *Niphargus tatrensis* species complex (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *Org. Divers. Evol.* 10: 5-22.
- Fišer C., Keber R., Kereži V., Moškrič A., Palandančič A., Petkovska V., Potočnik H., Sket B. (2007): Coexistence of species of two amphipod genera: *Niphargus timavi* (Niphargidae) and *Gammarus fossarum* (Gammaridae). *J. Nat. Hist.* 41: 2641-2651.
- Fišer C., Sket B., Stoch F. (2006a): Distribution of four narrowly endemic *Niphargus* species (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in the western Dinaric region with description of a new species. *Zool. Anz.* 245: 77-94.
- Fišer C., Trontelj P., Sket B. (2006b): Phylogenetic analysis of the *Niphargus orcinus* species-aggregate (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Niphargidae) with description of new taxa. *J. Nat. Hist.* 40: 2265-2315.
- Fišer Ž., Novak L., Luštrik R., Fišer C. (2016): Light triggers habitat choice of eyeless subterranean but not of eyed surface amphipods. *Naturwissenschaften* 103(1-2): 7.
- Gherlizza F. (1999): Articoli, note bibliografiche e segnalazioni sulla fauna ipogea riportati sulla rivista "Il turista" del Club turisti triestini (1894 al 1913). Centralgrafica s.n.c., Trieste, 48 pp.
- Grabowski M., Rewicz T., Bacela-Spychalska K., Konopacka A., Mamos T., Jazdzewski K. (2012): Cryptic invasion of Baltic lowlands by freshwater amphipod of Pontic origin. *Aquat. Invasions* 7: 337-346.
- Gudmundsdóttir R., Kornobis E., Kristjánsson B.K., Pálsson S. (2018): Genetic analysis of ciliates living on the groundwater amphipod *Crangonyx islandicus* (Amphipoda: Crangonyctidae). *Acta Zool.* 99: 188-198.
- Heller C. (1866): Beiträge zur näheren Kenntniss der Amphipoden des Adriatischen Meeres. (Als 1. Fortsetzung der "Untersuchungen über die Litoralfauna des Adriatischen über die Litoralfauna des Adriatischen Meeres", siehe Bd. 46 p. 415). Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, 96 pp.

- Horton T., Lowry J.K., De Broyer C., Bellan-Santini D., Coleman C.O., Daneliya M.E., Dauvin J.C., Fišer C., Gasca R., Grabowski M., Guerra-García J.M., Hendrycks E., Holsinger J., Hughes L., Jaime D., Jazdzewski K., Just J., Kamaltynov R.M., Kim Y.-H., King R.A., Krapp-Schickel T., LeCroy S., Lörz A.-N., Senna A., Serejeo C., Sket B., Tandberg A.H., Thomas J., Thurston M., Vader W., Väinölä R., Vonk R., White K.N., Zeidler W. (2021): World Amphipoda Database <http://www.marinespecies.org/amphipoda> [accessed on 19.4. 2021].
- Hupało K., Karaouzas I., Mamos T., Grabowski M. (2020): Molecular data suggest multiple origins and diversification times of freshwater gammarids on the Aegean archipelago. *Sci. Rep.* 10: 19813.
- Jamieson A.J., Fujii T., Mayor D.J., Solan M., Priede I.G. (2010): Hadal trenches: the ecology of the deepest places on Earth. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25: 190-197.
- Jazdzewski K., Konopacka A., Grabowski M. (2004): Recent drastic changes in the gammarid fauna (Crustacea, Amphipoda) of the Vistula River deltaic system in Poland caused by alien invaders. *Divers. Distrib.* 10: 81-87.
- Kaestner A. (1967): *Invertebrate Zoology*, 3. Crustacea. Interscience Publishing, New York, 523 pp.
- Karaman G.S. (1974): *Catalogus faunae Jugoslaviae. Crustacea: Amphipoda. Academia Scientiarum et Artium Slovenica, Ljubljana*: 1-41.
- Karaman G.S. (1984): Revizija *Niphargus orcinus* grupe I dio (fam. Niphargidae) (Contribution to the Knowledge of the Amphipoda 130). *Montenegrin Acad. Sci. Arts Glas. Sect. Nat. Sci.* 4: 7-79.
- Karaman G.S. (1988): The new species of the genus *Niphargus* Schiödt (Gammaridea, fam. Niphargidae) from Italy and Yugoslavia (Contribution to the knowledge of the Amphipoda 177). *Poljoprivreda i šumarstvo XXXIV* (2-3): 11-31.
- Karaman G.S. (2017): *Niphargus cymbalus*, new species and *N. jovanovici* S. Kar. 1931 in Greece (Contribution To the Knowledge of the Amphipoda 298). *Agriculture and Forestry* 63: 263-279.
- Karaman G.S., Pinkster S. (1977): Freshwater Gammarus species from Europe, North Africa and adjacent regions of Asia (Crustacea - Amphipoda). Part I. *Gammarus pulex* - group and related species. *Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde* 47(1): 1-97.
- Karaman S.L. (1931): Über die Synurellen Jugoslaviens. *Prirodoslovne razprave* 1: 25-30.
- Karaman S.L. (1932): 5. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Süßwasser Amphipoden. *Prirodoslovne razprave* 1: 179-232.
- Karaman S. (1950): Podrod *Orniphargus* u Jugoslaviji I. In: O nekim amfipodima-izopodima Balkana i o njihovoj široj sistematiki. *Posebna Izdanja* 163: 119-136
- Karaman S. (1952): Podrod *Stygoniphargus* u Sloveniji i Hrvatskoj. *Prirodosl. istraživanja* 25: 5-38.
- Konec M., Prevorčnik S., Sarbu S.M., Verovnik R., Trontelj P. (2015): Parallels between two geographically and ecologically disparate cave invasions by the same species, *Asellus aquaticus* (Isopoda, Crustacea). *J. Evol. Biol.* 28: 864-875.
- Krapp-Schickel T., Zavodnik D. (1996): Amphipodology in the surroundings of Rovinj (Marine institute of Istria, Croatia, N Adriatic Sea) and adjacent regions. *Bolletino del Mus. Civ. di Stor. Nat. di Verona* 20: 453-465.

- Lörz A.N., Myers A., Gordon D. (2014): An inquiline deep-water bryozoan / amphipod association from New Zealand, including the description of a new genus and species of Chevaliidae. *Eur. J. Taxon.* 72: 1-17.
- MacNeil C., Dick J.T.A., Elwood R.W. (1997): The trophic ecology of freshwater *Gammarus* spp. (Crustacea: Amphipoda): Problems and perspectives concerning the functional feeding group concept. *Biol. Rev.* 72: 349-364.
- Major K., Soucek D.J., Giordano R., Wetzel M.J., Soto-Adames F. (2013): The common ecotoxicology laboratory strain of *Hyalella azteca* is genetically distinct from most wild strains sampled in eastern North America. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 32: 2637-2647.
- Mamos T., Wattier R., Burzyński A., Grabowski M. (2016): The legacy of a vanished sea: a high level of diversification within a European freshwater amphipod species complex driven by 15 My of Paratethys regression. *Mol. Ecol.* 25: 795-810.
- Matjašič J. (1990): Monography of the family Scutariellidae (Turbellaria, Temnocephalidea) = Monografija družine Scutariellidae (Turbellaria, Temnocephalidea). Slovenska akademija znanosti in umetnosti, Ljubljana, 166 pp.
- Matjašič J., Sket B. (1971): Jamski hidroid s Slovenskega krasa. *Biol. vestn.* 19: 139-145.
- Megušar F. (1914): Oekologischen Studien an Höhlentieren. *Carniola, Ljubljana* 5: 63-83.
- McInerney C.E., Maurice L., Robertson A.L., Knight L.R.F.D., Arnscheidt J.J., Venditti C., Dooley J.S.G., Mathers T., Matthijs S., Eriksson K., Proudlove G.S., Hänfling B. (2014): The ancient Britons: groundwater fauna survived extreme climate change over tens of millions of years across NW Europe. *Mol. Ecol.* 23: 1153-1166.
- Myers A.A., Lowry K. (2009): The biogeography of Indo-West Pacific tropical amphipods with particular reference to Australia. *Zootaxa* 127: 109-127.
- Pekolj A. (2020): Morfometrična analiza površinskih in jamskih populacij bibic (*Synurella ambulans*) [Morphometric analysis of subterranean and cave populations of *Synurella ambulans*]. Master Thesis, University of Ljubljana [magistrsko delo: magistrski študij - 2. Stopnja], 65 pp.
- Perko G. (1910): Die Adelsberger Grotte in Wort und Bild. Komitee für den Bau eines internationalen Museums für Höhlenkunde in Adelsberg, Adelsberg, 78 pp.
- Prevorčnik S., Remškar A., Fišer C., Sket B., Bračko G., Delić T., Mori N., Brancelj A., Zagmajster M. (2019): Interstitial fauna of the Sava River in eastern Slovenia. *Nat. Slov.* 21: 13-23.
- Rejic M. (1956): Dve novi vrsti nifargid iz Slovenije. *Biol. vest* 5: 79-84.
- Rejic M. (1958): Problem razširjenosti kopepodnih in amfipodnih rakov po Ljubljanskem barju. Razprave Slovenske akademije znanosti in umetnosti, Razred za prirodoslovne in medicinske vede, Oddelek za prirodoslovne vede, Ljubljana 4: 167-207.
- Ruffo S., Bellan-Santini D., Karaman G.S., Ledoyer M., Myers A.A., Vader W. (1982): The Amphipoda of the Mediterranean, part 1. *Memoires de l'Institut Oceanographique* 13, Fondation Albert 1er, Prince de Monaco: 1-364.
- Ruffo S., Bellan-Santini, D. Karaman G.S., Ledoyer M., Myers A.A., Vader W. (1989): The Amphipoda of the Mediterranean, part 2, . *Memoires de l'Institut Oceanographique* 13, Fondation Albert 1er, Prince de Monaco: 365-576.

- Ruffo S., Bellan-Santini D., Karaman G.S., Ledoyer M., Myers A.A., Vader W. (1998): The Amphipoda of the Mediterranean, part 4. *Memoires de l'Institut Oceanographique* 13, Fondation Albert 1er, Prince de Monaco, Monaco: 815-950.
- Schellenberg A. (1933): Hohlenflohkrebse des Adelsberger Grottensystems nebst Bemerkungen über *Niphargus kochianus*. *Mitteilungen über Höhlen- und Karstforsch.* 2: 32-36.
- Shaw J.C., Henriksen E.H., Knudsen R., Kuhn J.A., Kuris A.M., Lafferty K.D., Siwertsson A., Soldánová M., Amundsen P.A. (2020): High parasite diversity in the amphipod *Gammarus lacustris* in a subarctic lake. *Ecol. Evol.* 10(21): 12385-12394.
- Sket B. (1958): Prispevek k poznavanju naših amfipodov. *Biol. vestn.* 6: 66-75.
- Sket B. (1960): Einige neue Formen der Malacostraca aus Jugoslawien III. *Bull. Scient. Youg.* 5(3): 73-75.
- Sket B. (1964): Nova aberatna vrsta postranic (Crust. Amphipoda) iz Slovenije. *Biol. vestn.* 12: 147-152.
- Sket B. (1970): Predhodno poročilo o ekoloških raziskavah v sistemu kraške Ljubljane. *Biol. vestn.* 18: 79-87.
- Sket B. (1971): Vier Neue Aberrante *Niphargus*-Arten (Amphipoda, Gammaridae) und Einige Bemerkungen zur Taxonomie der *Niphargus*-ähnlichen Gruppen. *Diss. Acad. Sci. Artium Slov. Cl. IV Hist. Nat. Med.* 14: 1-25.
- Sket B. (1972): Die *Niphargus jovanovici*-Gruppe (Amphipoda, Gammaridae) in Jugoslawien und NO-Italien, Taxonomisch, Zoogeographisch und Phylogenetisch Betrachtet. *Diss. Acad. Sci. Artium Slov.- Cl. IV Hist. Nat. Med.* 15: 99-140.
- Sket B. (1979): Jamska favna notranjskega trikotnika (Cerknica-Postojna-Planina), njena ogroženost in naravovarstveni pomen. *Varstvo narave, Ljubljana* 12: 45-59.
- Sket B. (1981): *Niphargobates orophobata* n.g., n.sp. (Amphipoda, Gammaridae s.l.) from cave waters in Slovenia. *Biol. vestn.* 29: 105-118.
- Sket B. (1996): Višji raki (Malacostraca) brez potočnih rakov in prašičkov; (Malacostraca, ex.Astacidae, Oniscida) - sestava favne in njena ogroženost. In: Gregori J. (Ed.), *Narava Slovenije, stanje in perspektive, Zbornik prispevkov o naravni dediščini Slovenije. Društvo ekologov Slovenije, Ljubljana*, pp. 222-227.
- Sket B. (1999a): The nature of biodiversity in hypogean waters and how it is endangered. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 8: 1319-1338.
- Sket B. (1999b): *Niphargus aquilex dohati* ssp. n. (Crustacea) from the karst of Slovenia. *Mitt. Verb. dt. Hoehlen- u. Karstforsch* 45: 54-56.
- Sket B. (2000): Pregled in izbor jam v Republiki Sloveniji, ki so pomembne za ohranjanje podzemne favne. *Ljubljana*, 36 pp.
- Sket B., Velkovrh F. (1981): Podzemeljske živali v termalnih vodah. *Biol. vestn.* 29(2): 91-120.
- Sket B., Hou Z. (2018): Family Gammaridae (Crustacea: Amphipoda), mainly its *Echinogammarus* clade in SW Europe. Further elucidation of its phylogeny and taxonomy. *Acta Biol. Slov.* 61(2): 93-102.

- Sket B., Zagmajster M., Prevorčnik S., Fišer C., Trontelj P. (2010): Inventarizacija podzemne favne v izbranih jamah in izviri na območju reke Idrijce s pritoki. Končno poročilo za Ireet. Oddelek za biologijo Biotehniške fakultete Univerze v Ljubljani, Ljubljana, 48 pp.
- Trontelj P., Blejec A., Fišer C. (2012): Ecomorphological convergence of cave communities. *Evolution* 66: 3852-3865.
- Trontelj P., Douady C.J., Fišer C., Gibert J., Gorički Š., Lefébure T., Sket B., Zakšek V. (2009): A molecular test for cryptic diversity in ground water: How large are the ranges of macrostygobionts? *Freshw. Biol.* 54: 727-744.
- Vader W., Myers A.A. (1993): Amphipods living in association with hermit crabs in S.E. Australia. I. Five new Ischyroceridae. *Bolletino del Mus. Civ. di Stor. Nat. di Verona* 20: 263-292.
- Vader W., Tandberg A.H.S. (2020): Amphipods and sea anemones, an update. *J. Crustac. Biol.* 40(6): 872-878.
- Vader W.I.M., Tandberg A.H.S. (2013): A survey of amphipods associated with molluscs. *Crustaceana* 86(7-8): 1038-1049.
- Väinölä R., Witt J.D.S., Grabowski M., Bradbury J.H., Jazdzewski K., Sket B. (2008): Global diversity of amphipods (Amphipoda; Crustacea) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia* 595: 241-255.
- Verovnik R., Prevorčnik S., Jugovic J. (2009): Description of a neotype for *Asellus aquaticus* Linné, 1758 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Asellidae), with description of a new subterranean *Asellus* species from Europe. *Zool. Anz.* 248: 101-118.
- Wattier R., Mamos T., Copilaș-Ciocianu D., Jelić M., Ollivier A., Chaumot A., Danger M., Felten V., Piscart C., Žganec K., Rewicz T., Wysocka A., Rigaud T., Grabowski M. (2020): Continental-scale patterns of hyper-cryptic diversity within the freshwater model taxon *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea, Amphipoda). *Sci. Rep.* 10: 16536.
- Weber D., Flot J.F., Weigand H., Weigand A.M. (2020): Demographic history, range size and habitat preferences of the groundwater amphipod *Niphargus puteanus* (C.L. Koch in Panzer, 1836). *Limnologica* 82: 125765.
- Westram A., Baumgartner C., Keller I., Jokela J. (2011): Are cryptic host species also cryptic to parasites? Host specificity and geographical distribution of acanthocephalan parasites infecting freshwater Gammarus. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 11: 1083-1090.
- Zagmajster M., Eme D., Fišer C., Galassi D., Marmonier P., Stoch F., Cornu J.F., Malard F. (2014): Geographic variation in range size and beta diversity of groundwater crustaceans: Insights from habitats with low thermal seasonality. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 23: 1135-1145.
- Zakšek V., Delić T., Fišer C., Jalžić B., Trontelj P. (2019): Emergence of sympatry in a radiation of subterranean amphipods. *J. Biogeogr.* 46(3): 657-669.
- Žganec K., Gottstein S., Hudina S. (2009): Ponto-Caspian amphipods in Croatian large rivers. *Aquat. Invasions* 4: 327-335.